In this week's "Three on Thursday," we look at the patterns of migration between states, finding the winners and losers. Each year the U.S. Census Bureau produces and publishes estimates of the population for the nation. Populations can change in three ways: people may be born (births), they may die (deaths), or they may move (domestic and international migration). The U.S. Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program measures this change and adds it to a base population to produce updated estimates every year. Our specific focus today centers on the change in domestic net migration between states, shedding light on the destinations attracting people and the origins experiencing departures. To gain a more comprehensive understanding of where things sit today, we present three informative tables below.

## Domestic Net Migration by State | Annual Change (7/1/22-7/1/23)

|  | Top 10 Winners |  |  |  |  |  | Top 10 Losers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: Census.gov, Tax Foundation, First Trust Advisors.

Domestic Net Migration by State | Cumulative Change (4/1/20-7/1/23)

| Top 10 Winners |  |  |  | Top 10 Losers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | As a Share of 2023 State Population | Change | 2022 State Tax Burden Rank | Rank | As a Share of 2023 State Population | Change | 2022 State Tax Burden Rank |
| 1 Idaho | 5.3\% | 104,313 | 29 | 1 New York | -4.5\% | -882,676 | 50 |
| 2 South Carolina | 4.6\% | 248,055 | 9 | 2 Dist.ofColumbia | -4.2\% | -28,452 | 39 |
| 3 Montana | 4.3\% | 48,444 | 27 | 3 California | -3.1\% | -1,197,950 | 46 |
| 4 Delaware | 3.7\% | 38,468 | 42 | 4 Illinois | -2.9\% | -364,443 | 44 |
| 5 Florida | 3.6\% | 818,762 | 11 | 5 Hawaii | -2.9\% | -41,670 | 48 |
| 6 Maine | 3.1\% | 42,818 | 41 | 6 Louisiana | -2.4\% | -110,709 | 12 |
| 7 Arizona | 2.9\% | 218,247 | 15 | 7 Alaska | -2.4\% | -17,356 | 1 |
| 8 Tennessee | 2.9\% | 207,097 | 3 | 8 Massachusetts | -2.1\% | -149,466 | 37 |
| 9 North Carolina | 2.9\% | 310,189 | 23 | 9 New Jersey | -1.6\% | -153,193 | 45 |
| 10 Texas | 2.2\% | 656,220 | 6 | 10 Maryland | -1.6\% | -99,579 | 35 |

Source: Census.gov, Tax Foundation, First Trust Advisors.

## U-Haul Rental Comparison



[^0]This report was prepared by First Trust Advisors L. P., and reflects the current opinion of the authors. It is based upon sources and data believed to be accurate and reliable. Opinions and forward looking statements expressed are subject to change without notice. This information does not constitute a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any security.

In the most recent annual data available from July 1, 2022 to July 1, 2023, South Carolina experienced the highest proportion of domestic net migration relative to its population, standing at $1.5 \%$. Conversely, New York faced the most significant loss, accounting for $-1.1 \%$ of the state's population. The predominant factors influencing these migration trends appear to be lower tax burdens and lower cost of living. Examining the tax landscape, the Tax Foundation gauges a state's tax burden by considering state and local taxes paid by residents divided by the state's share of net national product. On their scale, where 1 is the least tax-burdened state and 50 is the most tax-burdened state, the top 10 domestic migration winners boasted an average tax burden ranking of 21. In contrast, the top 10 domestic migration losers exhibited an average tax burden ranking of 35 .

Many believed the migration patterns during COVID would reverse as people returned to work, but that has not happened. The data reveal a sustained trend towards states with lower taxes and lower cost of living. Analyzing Census data from April 1, 2020, through July 1, 2023, shows Idaho led the way gaining a whopping $5.3 \%$ in domestic net migration as a share of its state population over that period. On the flip side, New York faced a substantial loss, with a $4.5 \%$ decrease in domestic net migrations as a share of its state population. In terms of sheer numbers, Florida emerged as the leader in net domestic migration, welcoming 818,762 individuals, while California witnessed the largest outflow, losing almost 1.2 million residents. Once again, the top 10 winners in domestic migration over this period displayed an average tax burden ranking of 21. Conversely, the top 10 losers in domestic migration exhibited an average tax burden ranking of 36 .

One of our favorite indicators of migration patterns is the price of renting a U-Haul to move from one city to another. On the U-Haul website, one can download quotes for these rentals and compare outbound and inbound pricing. If the price is higher for one direction relative to the other, it is a solid measure of supply and demand. U-Haul tries to develop a pricing scheme that keeps the total supply of usable vehicles roughly stable at each location and will lower or raise the price, depending on direction, in order to recover excess vehicles if volumes don't balance. For instance, taking a 26 ft . U-Haul from New York, NY, to Naples, FL, incurs a cost of $\$ 5,712$. In contrast, picking up the same-sized U-Haul in Naples and driving it to New York costs only $\$ 1,429$, a mere quarter of the price! This means many more people are moving from New York to Naples than are moving from Naples to New York.


[^0]:    Source: uhaul.com, First Trust Advisors. $26^{\prime}$ truck for 2/9/24 pick up date.

